



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 09/899,345 | 07/05/2001 | Allen Yu | 10015353-1 | 2176 | |
| 7590 09/07/2005 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration | | | CAMPBELL, JOSHUA D | | |
| P.O. Box 272400 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400 | | | 2178 | | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 00/07/2004 | DATE MAIL ED: 00/07/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 7 | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Ι. | • | Application No. | Applicant | t(s) | | | | | |
| | Advisory Action | 09/899,345 | YU, ALLE | N | | | | | |
| | Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | | Joshua D. Campbell | 2178 | | | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspond | lence addre | ss | | | | |
| | REPLY FILED <u>22 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| 1. 🛚 | The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | | |
| b) | The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | | |
| been f CFR above earne NOT | sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened start if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the ns after the mailing date of the final rejecti | The approprie final Office a on, even if time | iate extension action; or (2) as sely filed, may r | fee under 37 s set forth in (b) reduce any | | | | |
| | The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | | |
| | NDMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because | | | | | | | | |
| | (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | | |
| | (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for | | | | | | | | |
| | appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | | |
| 6. [| the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | _ | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) allowed: | | | | - | | | | |
| | Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | : | | | | |
| | DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| 8. ∐ | The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | out before or on the date of filing a lead sufficient reasons why the affida | Notice of Ap | peal will <u>not</u> evidence is | be entered necessary | | | | |
| 9. 🔲 | The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or a | ppellant fails | to providé a | | | | |
| | The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | | | | |
| 12. [13. [| Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: | . (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s). | Horly | 4_ | | | | |
| STEPHEN HONG | | | | | | | | | |
| | | S | UPF" | ······································ | IONG NT EXAMINE | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejection previously presented stands and is considered to be proper. Williams does in fact teach weighting user activities associated with keywords based period of time that has passed (see previous rejection). The examiner agrees that Williams does not explicitly teach that the weighting is an exponentially decreasing weight, however it is unclear to the examiner exactly where that appears in the claim language. Knight teaches that keywords are associated with digital objects (see previous rejection) which include content from the news group, once again the examiner agrees that Knight does not teach all of the digital objects listed in the arguments however it is again unclear to the examiner exactly where the type of digital object is delineated in claim 1. The rejection will be maintained at this point in prosecution.